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#### Abstract

In this paper we propose the modified $q$-deformed bosonic Tamm-Dancoff oscillator algebra and present the Hamiltonian for the modified $q$-deformed bosonic Tamm-Dancoff oscillators. We show that this Hamiltonian is equivalent to that of polyatomic molecule.
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## 1 Introduction

One of the most effective methods to approximate an intermediate statistics behavior is to consider deformed boson and deformed fermion systems. Recently, the $q$-deformed oscillator systems[1-7] have been applied to many areas of research in physics as well as mathematics. For instance, they have used to understand higher-order effects in the many-body interactions in nuclei [8,9], and also possible connections between the entanglement characteristics in quantum information theory and the properties of deformed boson systems have been investigated [10,11].

Historically, the $q$-deformed bosonic Tamm-Dancoff oscillator algebra was first introduced in [12], and some of its Hopf algebraic aspects were also discussed in [13]. Therefore, we call them the TD-oscillators model. It should be pointed out that some of the quantum statistical properties of this model with the range $q<1$ have been also considered in $[14,15]$ during the studies on the two-parameter $p, q$-deformed oscillators.

[^0]The Hamiltonian usually used for the description of vibrational modes of polyatomic molecule [16] is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i} \hbar w_{i}\left(n_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\hbar \sum_{i} \frac{\gamma_{i}}{2}\left(n_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i<j} \gamma_{i j}\left(n_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

More specially, the Hamiltonian usually used for the description of vibrational modes of triatomic molecule [17-19] is

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \hbar w_{1}\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\hbar w_{2}\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{12}\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The first and third term in this Hamiltonian describe an anharmonic oscillator, the second and fourth term describe another anharmonic oscillator. while the fifth term describes the cross-anharmonicity between them. The energy spectrum of this Hamiltonian is the same as that obtained from solving the Schrödinger equation for the Morse potential [20]. If we assume that atoms 1 and 3 are identical like in a molecule such as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the Hamiltonian (2) is then simplified as follows;

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \hbar w\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\hbar w\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{12}\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper we show that the q-deformed Tamm-Dancoff (TD) oscillator algebra is not appropriate for describing a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule. We modify the TD-oscillator algebra to show that it can explain a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule.

## 2 TD-oscillators as a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule

The TD-oscillator algebra is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a a^{\dagger}-q a^{\dagger} a=q^{N}, \quad\left[N, a^{\dagger}\right]=a^{\dagger}, \quad[N, a]=-a \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we introduce the ground state $|0\rangle$ satisfying $a|0\rangle=0$, we have the following Fock representation

$$
\begin{align*}
N|n\rangle & =n|n\rangle, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
a^{\dagger}|n\rangle & =\sqrt{(n+1) q^{n}}|n+1\rangle, \quad a|n\rangle=\sqrt{n q^{n-1}}|n-1\rangle \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\dagger} a=\{N\}_{q}=N q^{N-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra (4) was shown to have a Hopf algebra structure with the comultiplication, antipode and counit [7]. Coupling two of TD-oscillators, we can obtained the following Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w\left[a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{1} q^{N_{2}}+a_{2}^{\dagger} a_{2} q^{N_{1}}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\hbar=1$. The relevant eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (7) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=w\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}\right\}_{q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $q$ is real, we can set $q=e^{\tau}$. The energy eigenvalues given in Eq. (8), including terms up to $\tau^{2}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)= & w\left[n_{1}+n_{2}+\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right) \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

This construction can be easily generalized to the case of $M$ coupled TD-oscillators. The Hamiltonian then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} q^{\sum_{l=1}^{M} N_{l}-N_{i}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its relevant energy eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)=w\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{M}\right\}_{q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy eigenvalues (9) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)= & w\left[2 \tau-1+(1-3 \tau)\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+(1-3 \tau)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tau\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\tau\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+2 \tau\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing Eq. (12) with Eq. (3), we have $\tau=0$, which implies $q=0$. Thus, TD-oscillators cannot explain a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule

## 3 Modified TD-oscillators as a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule

In this section we consider the modified TD-oscillator algebra defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a a^{\dagger}-q^{\mu} a^{\dagger} a=q^{\mu N+\mu-1}, \quad\left[N, a^{\dagger}\right]=a^{\dagger}, \quad[N, a]=-a, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q, \mu$ are real. If we introduce the ground state $|0\rangle$ satisfying $a|0\rangle=0$, we have the following Fock representation

$$
\begin{align*}
& N|n\rangle=n|n\rangle, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
& a^{\dagger}|n\rangle=\sqrt{(n+1) q^{\mu n+\mu-1}}|n+1\rangle, \quad a|n\rangle=\sqrt{n q^{\mu n-1}}|n-1\rangle \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\dagger} a=\{N\}_{q, \mu}=N q^{\mu N-1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coupling two of modified TD-oscillators, we can obtained the following Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w\left[a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{1} q^{\mu N_{2}}+a_{2}^{\dagger} a_{2} q^{\mu N_{1}}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (16) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=w\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}\right\}_{q, \mu} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy eigenvalues given in Eq. (17), including terms up to $\tau^{2}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)= & w\left[n_{1}+n_{2}+\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left\{\mu\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-1\right\} \tau\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left\{\mu\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-1\right\}^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy eigenvalues (18) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)= & w\left[(1+\mu) \tau-1+(1-(2 \mu+1) \tau)\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right. \\
& +(1-(2 \mu+1) \tau)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\tau \mu\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\tau \mu\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.+2 \tau \mu\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing Eq. (19) with Eq. (3), we have $\mu=-1 / 2$, which implies that the modified TD-oscillators can explain a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule. This
construction can be easily generalized to the case of $M$ coupled TD-oscillators. The Hamiltonian then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} q^{\mu \sum_{l=1}^{M} N_{l}-\mu N_{i}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its relevant energy eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)=w\left\{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{M}\right\}_{q, \mu} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, if we choose $\mu=-1 / M$, the Hamiltonian (20) describes a vibrational model of molecules consisting $M$ atoms.

## 4 Angular momentum algebra related to the modified TD-oscillator algebra

In this section, we will discuss the angular momentum algebra related to the modified TD-oscillator algebra. Let us introduce the Jordan-Schwinger realization of this algebra as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{+}=a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{2}, \quad J_{-}=a_{1} a_{2}^{\dagger}, \quad J_{3}=\frac{N_{1}-N_{2}}{2}, \quad \mathcal{L}=\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{2}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a total number operator satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{L}, J_{3}\right]=\left[\mathcal{L}, J_{ \pm}\right]=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $J_{3}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are hermitian. Then we have the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[J_{+}, J_{-}\right]=2 J_{3} q^{2 \mu \mathcal{L}+\mu-2}, \quad\left[J_{3}, J_{ \pm}\right]= \pm J_{ \pm}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{-}$is an hermitian adjoint operator of $J_{+}$. The representation of this algebra is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{3}\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle, \\
& J_{-}\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle=\sqrt{q^{\mu\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+\mu-2} n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)}\left|n_{1}-1, n_{2}+1\right\rangle \\
& J_{+}\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle=\sqrt{q^{\mu\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+\mu-2}\left(n_{1}+1\right) n_{2}}\left|n_{1}+1, n_{2}-1\right\rangle \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

By introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=j+m, \quad n_{2}=j-m \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{|j, m\rangle} \equiv|j+m, j-m\rangle=\left|n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{3}|\widetilde{j, m\rangle}=m| \widetilde{j, m\rangle}, \quad \mathcal{L}|\widetilde{j, m\rangle}=j| \widetilde{j, m\rangle} \\
& J_{-} \widetilde{\left.j_{j, m}\right\rangle}=\sqrt{q^{2 \mu j+\mu-2}(j+m)(j-m+1)}|\widetilde{j, m-1}\rangle \\
& \left.J_{+}\left|\widetilde{j, m\rangle}=\sqrt{q^{2 \mu j+\mu-2}(j+m+1)(j-m)}\right| \widetilde{j, m+1}\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $J_{+}|\widetilde{j, j}\rangle=J_{-}|\widetilde{j,-j}\rangle=0$, the representation is bounded below and above and the possible value of $m$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=-j,-j+1,-j+2, \ldots, j-2, j-1, j \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have the finite dimensional Fock space. Applying $J_{+}$to the lowest state $\mid \widetilde{j,-j\rangle} 2 j+1$ times, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{+}\right)^{2 j+1}|\widetilde{j,-j}\rangle=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying $J_{-}$to the highest state $\mid \widetilde{j, j\rangle} 2 j+1$ times, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\dagger}\right)^{2 j+1}|\widetilde{j, j}\rangle=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we found that the q-deformed Tamm-Dancoff oscillator algebra was not appropriate for describing a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule. Thus, we modified the TD-oscillator algebra by introducing one more parameter $\mu$ and showed that it could explain a model for vibrations of polyatomic molecule. Finally, using Jordan-Schwinger method, we presented the angular momentum algebra related to the modified TD-oscillator algebra.
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